Quantum phases with differing computational power
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The observation that concepts from quantum information has generated many alternative indicators of quantum phase transitions hints that quantum phase transitions possess operational significance with respect to the processing of quantum information. Yet, studies on whether such transitions lead to quantum phases that differ in their capacity to process information remain limited. We show that there exist quantum phase transitions that cause a distinct qualitative change in our ability to simulate certain quantum systems under pertur-
bation of an external field by local operations and classical communication. In particular, we show that in certain quantum phases of the $XY$ model, adiabatic perturbations of the external magnetic field can be simulated by local spin operations, whereas the resulting effect within other phases results coherent non-local interactions. We discuss the potential implications to adiabatic quantum computation, where a computational advantage exists only when adiabatic perturbation results in coherent multi-body interactions.

The study of quantum phase transitions has greatly benefited from developments in quantum information theory \(^1,2\). We know, for example, that the extremum points of entanglement and other related correlations coincide with phase transition points \(^3\text--7\), and that different phases may feature differing fidelity between neighboring states \(^8\text--13\). These observations have helped pioneer many alternative indicators of phase transitions, allowing the tools of quantum information science to be harnessed in the analysis of quantum many body systems\(^1,2\). The reverse, however, remains understudied. If the concepts of quantum information processing have such relevance to the study of quantum phase transitions, one would expect that systems undergoing quantum phase transition would also exhibit different operational properties from the perspective of information processing. Yet, there remains little insight on how such relations are apply to quantum information and computation.

In this paper, we demonstrate via the $XY$ model that different quantum phases have distinct operational significance with respect to quantum information processing. We reveal that the differential local convertibility of ground states undergoes distinct qualitative change at points of phase
transition. By differential local convertibility of ground states, we refer to the following (see Fig. 1): A given physical system with an adjustable external parameter \( g \) is partitioned into two parties, Alice and Bob. Each party is limited to local operations on their subsystems (which we call \( A \) and \( B \)) and classical inter-party communication, i.e., LOCC. The question is: can the effect on the ground state caused by adiabatic perturbation of \( g \) be achieved through LOCC by Alice and Bob? Differential local convertibility of ground states is significant. Should LOCC operations between Alice and Bob be capable of simulating a particular physical process, then such a process is of limited computational power, i.e., it is incapable of generating any quantum coherence between \( A \) and \( B \).

We make use of the most powerful notion of differential local convertibility, that of LOCC operations together with assisted entanglement \(^{14,15}\). Given some infinitesimal \( \Delta \), let \( |G(g)\rangle_{AB} \) and \( |G(g+\Delta)\rangle_{AB} \) be the ground states of the given system when the external parameter is set to \( Sg \) and \( g + \Delta \) respectively. The necessary and sufficient conditions for local conversion between \( |G(g)\rangle_{AB} \) and \( |G(g+\Delta)\rangle_{AB} \) is given by \( S_\alpha(g) \geq S_\alpha(g+\Delta) \) for all \( \alpha \), where

\[
S_\alpha(g) = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \log_2[Tr\rho_A^\alpha(g)] = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \log_2 \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{d} \lambda_i^\alpha \right]
\]

is the Rényi entropy with parameter \( \alpha \), \( \rho_A(g) \) is the reduced density matrix of \( |G(g)\rangle_{AB} \) with respect to Alice’s subsystem, and \( \{\lambda_i\} \) are the eigenvalues of \( \rho_A(g) \) in decreasing order \(^{16-18}\). Thus, if the Rényi entropies of two states intercept for some \( \alpha \), they cannot convert to each other by LOCC even in the presence of ancillary entanglement \(^{19}\). In the \( \Delta \to 0^+ \) limit, we may instead examine the sign of \( \partial_g S_\alpha(g) \) for all \( \alpha \). If \( \partial_g S_\alpha(g) \) does not change sign, the effect of an infinitesimal increase of \( g \) results in global shift in \( S_\alpha(g) \), with no intersection between \( S_\alpha(g + \Delta) \) and \( S_\alpha(g) \).
Otherwise, an intersection must exist.

Before we consider the general \( XY \) model, we highlight key ideas on the transverse Ising model, with Hamiltonian

\[
H_I(g) = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\sigma_i^x \sigma_{i+1}^x + g \sigma_i^z),
\]

where \( \sigma^k \), for \( k = x, y, z \) are the usual Pauli matrices and periodic boundary conditions are assumed. The transverse Ising model is one of the simplest models which has a phase transition, therefore it often serves as a test-bed for applying new ideas and methods to quantum phase transitions. Osterloh et al. have previously shown that the derivative of the concurrence is a indicator of the phase transition\(^3\). Nielsen et al. have also studied concurrence between two spins at zero or finite temperature\(^4\). Recently, the Ising chain with frustration has been realized in experiment\(^20\).

The traverse Ising model features two different quantum phases, separated by a critical point at \( g = 1 \). When \( g < 1 \), the system resides in the ferromagnetic (symmetric) phase. It is ordered, with nonzero order parameter \( \langle \sigma^x \rangle \), that breaks the phase flip symmetry \( \Pi_i \sigma_i^z \). When \( g > 1 \), the system resides in the symmetric paramagnetic (symmetry broken) phase, such that \( \langle \sigma^x \rangle = 0 \).

There is systematic qualitative difference in the computational power afforded by perturbation of \( g \) within these two differing phases. In the paramagnetic phase, \( \partial_g S_\alpha(g) \) is negative for all \( \alpha \), hence increasing the external magnetic field can be simulated by LOCC. In the ferromagnetic \( \partial_g S_\alpha(g) \) changes signs for certain \( \alpha \). Thus the ground states are not locally convertible, and perturbing the magnetic field in either direction results in fundamentally non-local quantum effects.
This result is afforded by the study of how Rényi entropy within the system behaves. From
Eq.(1), we see that Rényi entropy contains all knowledge of \( \{ \lambda_i \} \). For large \( \alpha \), \( \lambda_i^\alpha \) vanishes
when \( \lambda_i \) is small, and larger eigenvalues dominate. In the limit where \( \alpha \to \infty \), all but the largest
eigenvalue \( \lambda_1 \) may be neglected such that \( S_\infty = -\log_2 \lambda_1 \). In contrast, for small values of \( \alpha \),
smaller eigenvalues become as important as their larger counterparts. In the \( \alpha \to 0^+ \) limit, the
Rényi entropy approaches to the logarithm of the rank for the reduced density matrix, i.e., the
number of non-zero eigenvalues.

This observation motivates study of the eigenvalue spectrum. In systems of finite size (see
Fig 2), the largest eigenvalue monotonically increases while the second monotonically decreases
for all \( g \). All the other eigenvalues \( \lambda_k \) exhibit a maximum at some point \( g_k \). From the scaling
analysis (Fig. S1 in the supplementary material), we see that as we increase the size of the system,
\( g_k \to 1 \) for all \( k \). Thus, in the thermodynamic limit, \( \lambda_k \) exhibits a maximum at the critical point of
\( g = 1 \) for all \( k \geq 3 \). Knowledge of this behavior gives intuition to our claim (see supplementary
for a more detailed analysis).

In the ferromagnetic phase, i.e., \( 0 < g < 1 \), \( \partial_g S_\alpha (g) \) takes on different signs for different
\( \alpha \). When \( \alpha \to 0^+ \), \( S_\alpha \) tends to the logarithm of the effective rank. From Fig.2 we see that all
but the two largest eigenvalues increase with \( g \), resulting in an increase of effective rank. Thus
\( \partial_g S_\alpha (g) > 0 \) for small \( \alpha \). In contrast, when \( \alpha \to \infty \), \( S_\alpha \to -\log_2 \lambda_1 \). Since \( \lambda_1 \) increases with
\( g \) (Fig. 2), \( \partial_g S_\alpha (g) < 0 \) for large \( \alpha \). Therefore, there is no differential local convertibility in the
ordered phase.
In the paramagnetic phase, i.e., $g > 1$, calculation yields that $\partial_g S_\alpha(g)$ is negative for both limiting cases considered above by similar reasoning. However, the intermediate $\alpha$ between these two limits cannot be analyzed in a simple way. The detail and formal proof of the result can be found in the supplementary material, where it is shown that $\partial_g S_\alpha(g)$ still remains negative for all $\alpha > 0$. Thus, differential local convertibility exists in this phase.

These results indicate that at the critical point, there is a distinct change in the nature of the ground state. Prior to the critical point, a small perturbation of the external magnetic field results in a change of the ground state that cannot be implemented without two body quantum gates. In contrast, after phase transition, any such perturbation may be simulated completely by LOCC.

We generalize our analysis to the $XY$ model, with Hamiltonian

$$H = -\sum_i \left[ \frac{1}{2} (1 + \gamma) \sigma_i^x \sigma_{i+1}^x + \frac{1}{2} (1 - \gamma) \sigma_i^y \sigma_{i+1}^y + g \sigma_i^z \right],$$

for different fixed values of $\gamma > 0$. The traverse Ising model thus corresponds to the the special case for this general class of models, in which $\gamma = 1$. For $\gamma \neq 1$, there exists additional structure of interest in phase space beyond the breaking of phase flip symmetry at $g = 1$. In particular, there exists a circle, $g^2 + \gamma^2 = 1$, on which the ground state is fully separable. The functional form of ground state correlations and entanglement are known differ substantially on either side of the circle\textsuperscript{22–24}, which motivates the perspective that the circle is a boundary between two differing phases. Indeed, such a division already exists from the perspective of an entanglement phase diagram, where different ‘phases’ are characterized by the presence and absence of parallel entanglement\textsuperscript{25}. 
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Analysis of local convertibility reveals that from the perspective of computational power under adiabatic evolution, we may indeed divide the system into three separate phases (See Fig. 5). While the disordered paramagnetic phase remains locally convertible, the local convertibility of the ferromagnetic phase now depends on whether \( g^2 + \gamma^2 > 1 \). In particular, for each fixed \( \gamma > 0 \). The system is only locally non-convertible when \( g > \sqrt{1 - \gamma^2} \). We summarize these results in a ‘local-convertibility phase-diagram’, where the ferromagnetic region is now divided into components defined by their differential local convertibility.

Numerical evidence strongly suggests that our results are not limited to a particular choice of bipartition. We examine the differential local convertibility when both systems of interest is partitioned in numerous other ways, where the two parties may share an unequal distribution of spins (see Fig 3 and Fig. 5). The qualitative properties of \( \partial_g S_\alpha \) remain unchanged. While it is impractical to analyze all \( 2^N \) possible choices of bipartition, these results motivate the conjecture that differential local convertibility is independent of our choice of partitions. Should this be true, it has strong implications: The computation power of adiabatic evolution in different phases are drastic. In one phase, perturbation of the external field can be completely simulated by LOCC operations on individual spins, with no coherent two-spin interactions. While, in other phases, any perturbation in the external field creates coherent interactions between any chosen bipartition of the system.

The study of differential local convertibility of the ground state gives direct operational significance to phase transitions in the context of quantum information processing. For example, adi-
abatic quantum computation (AQC) involves the adiabatic evolution of the ground state of some Hamiltonian which features a parameter that varies with time\textsuperscript{26,27}. This is instantly reminiscent of our study, which observes what computational processes are required to simulate the adiabatic evolution of the ground state under variance of an external parameter in different quantum phases.

Specifically, AQC involves a system with Hamiltonian $(1 - s)H_0 + sH_p$, where the ground state of $H_0$ is simple to prepare, and the ground state of $H_p$ solves a desired computational problem. Computing the solution then involves a gradual increment of the parameter $s$. By the adiabatic theorem, we arrive at our desired solution provided the $s$ is varied slowly enough such that the system remains in its ground state\textsuperscript{26,27}. We can regard this process of computation from the perspective of local convertibility and phase transitions. Should the system lie in a phase where local convertibility exists, the increment of $s$ may be simulated by LOCC. Thus AQC cannot have any computational advantages over classical computation. Only in phases where no local convertibility exists, can AQC have the potential to surpass classical computation. Thus, a quantum phase transition could be regarded as an indicator from which AQC becomes useful.

In fact, the spin system studied in this paper is directly relevant to a specific AQC algorithm. The problem of “2–SAT on a Ring: Agree and Disagree” features an adiabatic evolution involving the Hamiltonian

$$\tilde{H}(s) = (1 - s) \sum_{j=1}^{N} (1 - \sigma^z_j) + s \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{2} (1 - \sigma^z_j \sigma^z_{j+1}),$$

where $s$ is slowly varied from 0 to 1\textsuperscript{26,27}. This is merely a rescaled version of the Ising chain studied here, where the phase transition occurs at $s = \frac{2}{3}$. According to the analysis above, the AQC
during the paramagnetic phase can be simulated by local manipulations or classical computations. For the period of ferromagnetic phase, we can do nothing to reduce the adiabatic procedure.

In this paper, we have demonstrated that the computational power of adiabatic evolution in the $XY$ model is dependent on which quantum phase it resides in. This surprising relation suggests different quantum phases may not only have different physical properties, but may also display different computational properties. This hints that not only are the tools of quantum information useful as alternative signatures of quantum phase transitions, but that the study of quantum phase transitions may also offer additional insight into quantum information processing. This motivates the study of the quantum phases within artificial systems that correspond directly to well known adiabatic quantum algorithms, which may grant additional insight on how adiabatic computation relates to the physical properties of system that implements the said computation. There is much potential insight to be gained in applying the methods of analysis presented here to more complex physical systems that featuring more complex quantum transitions.

In addition, differential local convertibility also may posses significance beyond information processing. One of the proposed indicators of a topological order involves coherent interaction between subsystems that scale with the size of the system$^{28,29}$. In our picture, such a indicator could translate to the requirement for non-LOCC operations within appropriate chosen bipartite systems. Thus, differential local convertibility may serve as an additional tool for the analysis of such order.
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Figure 1 Differential local convertibility illustration. (Color online). Alice and Bob control the two bi-partitions of a physical system whose ground state depends on a Hamiltonian that can be varied via some external parameter $g$. In one phase (left), the conversion from one ground state $|G(g)\rangle$ to another $|G(g + \Delta)\rangle$ requires a quantum channel between Alice and Bob, i.e, coherent interactions between the two partitions are required. We say that this phase has no local convertibility. After phase transition, Alice and Bob are able to convert $|G(g)\rangle$ to $|G(g + \Delta)\rangle$ via only local operations and classical communications, and local convertibility becomes possible. If $\Delta \to 0$, local convertibility becomes differential local convertibility. This implies that it is impossible to completely simulate the adiabatic evolution of the ground state with respect to $g$ by LOCC in one quantum phase and possible in the other phase.
Figure 2 Eigenvalues. (Color online). The four largest eigenvalues of transverse Ising ground state for N=10 case. Note that we have artificially magnified $\lambda_3$ and $\lambda_4$ for the sake of clarity since each subsequent eigenvalue is approximately one order smaller than its predecessor.
Figure 3 The sign distribution of $\partial_g S_\alpha$ in Ising model for different bi-partitions. (Color online). The sign distribution of $\partial_g S_\alpha$ on the $\alpha - g$ plane for different bi-partitions on a system of size $N = 12$, where Alice possesses 3, 4, 5, 6 of the spins. $\partial_g S_\alpha$ is negative in lighter regions and positive in darker regions. Clearly, regardless of choice of bipartition, $\partial_g S_\alpha$ is always negative for $g > 1$ and takes on both negative and positive values otherwise. Note that for very small $g$, $\partial_g S_\alpha$ only becomes negative for very large $\alpha$ and thus appears completely positive in the graph above. The existence of negative $\partial_g S_\alpha$ can be verified by analysis of $\partial_g S_\alpha$ in the $\alpha \to \infty$ limit. The choice of bipartition affects only the shape of the $\partial_g S_\alpha = 0$ boundary, which is physically unimportant.
Figure 4 XY model local convertibility phase diagram. (Color online). Consideration of differential local convertibility separates the XY model is three phases, which we label phase 1A, phase 1B and phase 2. We consider differential local convertibility for fixed values of $\gamma$ while $g$ is perturbed. Differential local convertibility is featured within both phase 1B and phase 2, but not phase 1A.
Figure 5 XY model field derivative of Renyi entropy. (Color online). Plots for the positivity of $\partial S_\alpha / \partial g$ for fixed values of $\gamma = \sqrt{3}/2$ (A),(B),(C) and $\sqrt{7}/4$ (D) (E) (F) for various bipartitions. Here the value of $L$ represents a bipartition in which $L$ qubits are placed in one bipartition and $N - L$ qubits in the other. The only region in which $\partial S_\alpha / \partial g$ takes on both negative and positive values is in phase 1A of Figure 4. Note that the transition between phase 1A and 1B occurs at $g = 0.5$ for $\gamma = \sqrt{3}/2$ (Point E, Fig 4) and $g = 0.75$ for $\gamma = \sqrt{7}/4$ (Point D, Fig 4.)
Local Convertibility as a Signature of Quantum Phase Transition

Supplementary Material

Formal proof of the main result

For the transverse field Ising model, the largest eigenvalue $\lambda_1$ monotonically increases while the second $\lambda_2$ monotonically decreases for all $g$.

In the thermodynamic limit all the other eigenvalues increase in the $g < 1$ region and decrease in the $g > 1$ region. Moreover, the eigenvalues other than the largest two are much smaller than $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$. Therefore we can average ‘them when considering their contribution to the Renyi entropy. Thus the eigenvalues are assumed to be $0.5 + \delta, 0.5 - \epsilon, \frac{\varepsilon-\delta}{2^n-2}, \frac{\varepsilon-\delta}{2^n-2}, \ldots$ when $g < 1$, and $1 - \delta' - \epsilon', \epsilon', \frac{\varepsilon'-\delta'}{2^n-2}, \ldots$, when $g > 1$, where $n$ is the particle number belonging to Alice and certainly Bob has the other $N - n$ particles. Because of some obvious reasons such as $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \lambda_3 \cdots$ and all these eigenvalues are positive, and so on, we can derive the following relations easily:

$0 < \delta < \varepsilon < 0.5, 0 < \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial g} < \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial g}, 0 < \delta' < \varepsilon' < 0.5, \frac{\partial \delta'}{\partial g} < 0$, and $\frac{\partial \varepsilon'}{\partial g} < 0$. Next, we prove the theorem in the main text for each different phase region. Namely, in the $g < 1$ phase, $\partial_g S_{\alpha}$ is positive for small $\alpha$ but negative for large $\alpha$; and for the $g > 1$ phase, $\partial_g S_{\alpha} < 0$ for all $\alpha$.
1 Ferromagnetic phase

In the ferromagnetic phase $g < 1$, the eigenvalues are $0.5 + \delta, 0.5 - \epsilon, \frac{\epsilon - \delta}{2^n - 2}, \ldots$. So the Renyi entropy

$$S_\alpha = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \log \left[ (0.5 + \delta)^\alpha + (0.5 - \epsilon)^\alpha + (2^n - 2) \left( \frac{\epsilon - \delta}{2^n - 2} \right)^\alpha \right],$$

and

$$\frac{\partial S_\alpha}{\partial g} = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \frac{1}{(0.5 + \delta)^\alpha + (0.5 - \epsilon)^\alpha + (2^n - 2) \left( \frac{\epsilon - \delta}{2^n - 2} \right)^\alpha} \times \alpha \left[ (0.5 + \delta)^{\alpha-1} \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial g} - (0.5 - \epsilon)^{\alpha-1} \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial g} + \left( \frac{\epsilon - \delta}{2^n - 2} \right)^{\alpha-1} \left( \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial g} - \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial g} \right) \right]$$

$$= \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \frac{1}{(0.5 + \delta)^\alpha + (0.5 - \epsilon)^\alpha + (2^n - 2) \left( \frac{\epsilon - \delta}{2^n - 2} \right)^\alpha} \times \left\{ \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial g} [0.5 + \delta]^{\alpha-1} - \left( \frac{\epsilon - \delta}{2^n - 2} \right)^{\alpha-1} - \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial g} [(0.5 - \epsilon)^{\alpha-1} - \left( \frac{\epsilon - \delta}{2^n - 2} \right)^{\alpha-1}] \right\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (S2)

In the thermodynamic limit $N \to \infty$, $\frac{\epsilon - \delta}{2^n - 2} \to 0$.

When $0 < \alpha < 1$, $(\frac{\epsilon - \delta}{2^n - 2})^{\alpha-1} \to \infty$,

$$\frac{\partial S_\alpha}{\partial g} = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \frac{\alpha}{\epsilon - \delta} \left( \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial g} - \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial g} \right) > 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (S3)

When $\alpha > 1$, $(\frac{\epsilon - \delta}{2^n - 2})^{\alpha-1} \to 0$,

$$\frac{\partial S_\alpha}{\partial g} \sim \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \left[ \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial g} \left( \frac{\epsilon + \delta}{0.5 - \epsilon} \right)^{\alpha-1} - \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial g} \right],$$

since $(1 + \frac{\epsilon + \delta}{0.5 - \epsilon})^{\alpha-1} > 1$, and $0 < \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial g} < \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial g}$, we can see that the solution of $\frac{\partial S_\alpha}{\partial g} = 0$ (labeled as $\alpha_0$) always exists in the region $g < 1 \cap \alpha > 1$, and $\frac{\partial S_\alpha}{\partial g}$ will be negative as long as $\alpha > \alpha_0$. Moreover we can also see that the smaller $g$ is, the smaller $\delta$ and $\epsilon$ are, and the smaller $(1 + \frac{\epsilon + \delta}{0.5 - \epsilon})$ is, and
therefore the larger $\alpha_0$ should be. This explains why we need to examine larger value of $\alpha$ to find the crossing when $g$ is very small.

Notice that in the above analysis we used the $N \to \infty$ condition in the $g < 1$ region. We can also find that in Fig.3 of the main text for finite $N = 10$ there is some small blue area in the region $\alpha < 1 \cap g < 1$. However, in the above analysis of infinite $N$, this area should be totally red. This difference is due to the finite size effect.

2 Paramagnetic phase

In the paramagnetic phase, $g > 1$. The eigenvalues are $1 - \delta' - \epsilon', \epsilon', \frac{\delta'}{2^{n-2}}, \ldots$. The Rényi entropy

$$S_\alpha = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \log[(1 - \delta' - \epsilon')^\alpha + (\epsilon')^\alpha + (2^n - 2)(\frac{\delta'}{2^{n-2}})^\alpha]. \quad (S5)$$

$$\frac{\partial S_\alpha}{\partial g} = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \frac{1}{(1 - \delta' - \epsilon')^\alpha + (\epsilon')^\alpha + (2^n - 2)(\frac{\delta'}{2^{n-2}})^\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial g} \left[ - (1 - \epsilon' - \delta')^{\alpha - 1} \left( \frac{\partial \delta'}{\partial g} + \frac{\partial \epsilon'}{\partial g} \right) + (\epsilon')^{\alpha - 1} \frac{\partial \epsilon'}{\partial g} + (\frac{\delta'}{2^n - 2})^{\alpha - 1} \frac{\partial \delta'}{\partial g} \right]$$

$$= \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \frac{(1 - \delta' - \epsilon')^{\alpha - 1}}{(1 - \delta' - \epsilon')^\alpha + (\epsilon')^\alpha + (2^n - 2)(\frac{\delta'}{2^{n-2}})^\alpha} \times \left\{ \frac{\partial \delta'}{\partial g} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2^n - 2} \frac{\delta'}{1 - \delta' - \epsilon'} \right)^{\alpha - 1} - 1 \right] + \frac{\partial \epsilon'}{\partial g} \left[ \left( \frac{\epsilon'}{1 - \epsilon' - \delta'} \right)^{\alpha - 1} - 1 \right] \right\}, \quad (S6)$$

where $\frac{\partial \delta'}{\partial g}, \frac{\partial \epsilon'}{\partial g} < 0$; and $\frac{\epsilon'}{1 - \epsilon' - \delta'}, \frac{1}{2^n - 2} \frac{\delta'}{1 - \epsilon' - \delta'} \in (0, 1)$, since $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \lambda_3$.

So when $\alpha > 1$, $[(\ldots)^{\alpha - 1} - 1] < 0$, $\{\ldots\} > 0$, $\frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} < 0$. We have $\frac{\partial S_\alpha}{\partial g} < 0$; and when
\[ 0 < \alpha < 1, \left[ \ldots \right]^{\alpha-1} - 1 > 0, \{ \ldots \} < 0, \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} > 0. \] We also have \( \frac{\partial S_{\alpha}}{\partial g} < 0. \) Hence, we can obtain that when \( g > 1, \frac{\partial S_{\alpha}}{\partial g} < 0 \) for all \( \alpha > 0 \).

To conclude, in the \( g > 1 \) phase \( \frac{\partial S_{\alpha}}{\partial g} \) is negative for all \( \alpha \), and in the \( g < 1 \cap \alpha < 1 \) region it is positive, while in the \( g < 1 \cap \alpha > 1 \) region it can be either negative or positive with the boundary depending on the solution of Eq.(4). Thus we have proved the theorem in the main text.

1. If we consider the full condition for the local convertibility which includes the generalization of \( \alpha \) to negative value, it also can be proved easily that the local convertible condition \( \frac{\partial S_{\alpha}}{\alpha} > 0 \) for all \( \alpha \) is satisfied in the \( g > 1 \) phase. As for the \( g < 1 \) phase, the sign changing in the positive \( \alpha \) already violates the local convertible condition, so that we do not need to consider the negative \( \alpha \) part. In fact, generally speaking, for the study of \textit{differential local convertibility}, we can only focus on the positive \( \alpha \) part, because the derivative of Rényi entropy over the phase transition parameter will necessarily generate a common factor \( \alpha \), which will cancel the same \( \alpha \) in the denominator.
Figure S1 Scaling analysis. (Color online). The scaling behaviors of the maximal points of the third and fourth eigenvalues. When $N \to \infty$, the maximum points approach to the critical point with certain acceptable error. (Left) The maximum point for the third eigenvalue $g_3 = 0.495 \times \exp(-\frac{N}{10.044}) + 1.09177$. (Right) The maximum point for the fourth eigenvalue $g_4 = -0.082 \times \exp(-\frac{N}{5.527}) + 0.9996$. The maximum points of smaller eigenvalues have similar behavior.